

***CITY OF SPRINGFIELD, MASSACHUSETTS***

***REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES  
OVER COMPLIANCE APPLICABLE TO  
MASSACHUSETTS SCHOOL DISTRICTS'  
END-OF-YEAR PUPIL AND FINANCIAL REPORT***

***FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2006***

**CITY OF SPRINGFIELD, MASSACHUSETTS**  
**REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES**  
**OVER COMPLIANCE APPLICABLE TO**  
**MASSACHUSETTS SCHOOL DISTRICTS'**  
**END-OF-YEAR PUPIL AND FINANCIAL REPORT**  
**FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2006**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b>Page</b>
Independent Accountants' report on applying agreed-upon procedures over compliance applicable to Massachusetts School Districts' End-of-Year Pupil and Financial Report	1
Schedule of findings	2



100 Quannapowitt Parkway  
Suite 101  
Wakefield, MA 01880  
T. 781-914-1700  
F. 781-914-1701  
[www.powersandsullivan.com](http://www.powersandsullivan.com)

**INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES OVER COMPLIANCE APPLICABLE TO MASSACHUSETTS SCHOOL DISTRICTS' END-OF-YEAR PUPIL AND FINANCIAL REPORT**

To the Honorable Mayor,  
Finance Control Board, and the School Committee  
City of Springfield, Massachusetts

We have performed the procedures specified in the Massachusetts Department of Education's (DOE) Compliance Supplement applicable to Massachusetts School Districts to the End-of-Year Pupil and Financial Report prepared by the City of Springfield, Massachusetts (City) for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006. We performed these procedures solely to assist the City and DOE in evaluating the City's assertion that it has complied with the DOE requirements applicable to the preparation and filing of a Massachusetts School Districts' End-of-Year Pupil and Financial Report. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was performed in accordance with standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of the specified users of the report.

Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures specified in the Massachusetts Department of Education's Compliance Supplement applicable to Massachusetts School Districts End-of-Year Pupil and Financial Report for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

We have listed noncompliance with agreed-upon procedures in the accompanying Schedule of Findings.

We were not engaged to and did not perform an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the End-of-Year Pupil and Financial Report. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the use of the City and the DOE and should not be used by those who have not agreed to the procedures and taken responsibility for the sufficiency of the procedures for their purposes. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

January 31, 2007

Finding #1 – General Requirement #1.A.a

General requirement #1.A.a requires verification of the accuracy and completeness of the financial information contained in the End-Of-Year Pupil and Financial Report (EOYR). While completing this requirement, we reviewed Schedule 1, Part C2 – Expenditures from federal grants, state grants and special funds and noted that the expenditures reported in the School Lunch column (Column 9) are equal to the revenues collected during the year. The amount reported is approximately \$730,000 lower than actual expenditures recorded on the City's general ledger.

Finding #2 – Specific Requirement #9

Specific requirement #9 requires the identification of expenditures reported as long-term School Construction debt for principal (8100) and interest (8200), verification that bond anticipation notes are not included in these functions, and tracing the reported costs to the Treasurer's debt schedule and the detail in the accounting ledgers. While completing this requirement, we noted that the long-term debt principal payments reported on line 2130 were overstated by approximately \$325,000 due to a clerical error.

Finding #3 – Specific Requirement #11

Specific requirement #11 requires that a written agreement is obtained between the School Committee and municipal officials documenting the agreed upon methodologies to be used when allocating, distributing or assigning municipal expenditures to the EOYR and that the municipal expenditures reported on Schedule 1 Part 2B are tested to ensure compliance with the municipal agreement. We were unable to complete this requirement because we were not provided with a written agreement.

Finding #4 – Specific Requirement #14

Specific requirement #14 requires verification of the allocation of Special Education (SPED) expenditures on Schedule 4. While completing this requirement, we noted that the District did not report expenditures from federal grants on line 3930. However, the fiscal 2006 DOE grant listing indicated that the District was awarded \$7,400,056 of federal SPED funds.